

## Epsom and Ewell Borough Council

### Personal Details:

Name:

E-mail:

Postcode:

Organisation Name: Ewell Village Resident's Association

### Comment text:

Submission from Ewell Village Resident's Association to Local Government Boundary Commission consultation on ward review for Epsom & Ewell: 1. Agree with the BC announcement of "minded to recommend" that the Council should have 35 councillors. 2. Ewell ward should continue to be represented by three councillors. 3. The principle of boundaries being determined by obvious separations such as railway lines, major rivers, dual carriageway roads, etc is respected. Given these factors, the present Ewell ward is "about right" and only requires some tweaking which is suggested within this response. 4. The addition of JC polling district to Ewell ward at the last review, some 22 years ago, has not worked particularly well because that location is closer to Epsom Town Centre and those residents associate it as being more relevant to Epsom Town rather than Ewell Village. 5. Conversely, the more recent development on the former NESCOLT college land located between Ewell By Pass and the Epsom-Sutton railway line (known by the developers name of Sycamore Gardens, but comprising 14 different street names), is located in Nonsuch Ward. It is suggested that those residents are closer to, and have more affinity with, Ewell Village centre rather than the wider, centreless and diverse Nonsuch ward. This area should therefore be removed from Nonsuch ward and added to Ewell ward. 6. A recent electoral listing shows 287 voters within the Sycamore Gardens estate. 7. Notwithstanding the comment at 4 above, there has been some affinity with Windmill Lane and Chuters Grove residents over recent years with Ewell ward councillors dealing with matters on their behalf. It is advanced therefore that there is a case to retain a smaller section of the current JC area being its North-Eastern extent. 8. It is suggested at the boundary between Ewell ward and Town ward be amended by being shortened to exclude that part of East Street from Church Road to, and including Dirdene Gardens, and its back roads on its SE side thus retaining Windmill Lane including Bush Villas, Chuters Grove, East Street Ede Court, East Street St Georges Court, East Street 178/182a, East Street Chossy House and Old Bridge Lane within Ewell ward. This area contains approx 112 voters. 9. As a corollary to No.8 and giving some alignment thus making Kiln junction a boundary point, it is further suggested that the Ewell ward boundary is extended on the NW side of East Street from its present termination at Fairview Road up to, but not including Kiln Lane. The section would contain about 86 voters if an application for development at 107/111 East Street is granted permission. 10. Also the current border/boundary close to the location of No.9 above properties there is a slight irregularity, probably historic from the time of former Stone's Tip, which cuts across the current Sainsbury's car park. This should be straightened to allow the "Boundary Path" (continuation of Fairview Road to West Street Ewell) to continue to be wholly within Ewell ward but all of Sainsbury's car park and its entrance footpath from Fairview Road, to be in Town ward. The boundary thus to extend along the rear of the properties of Fairview Road and those at No.9 above and being 107 to 119 East Street. 11. The effect of the above (in approximate numbers):- Ewell ward projected if boundary unaltered is 4,752. Delete part of JC as above (390), add Sycamore Gardens estate (287), add 107 to 139 East Street (80). Total of 4,729. 12. SUMMARY. There is justification therefore, as at 11 above, in retaining Ewell ward as a three-councillor ward at an average of 1,573 voters per councillor - very close to the BC forecast. Also, the ward generally retains its long-established boundary with the amendments suggested.

### Uploaded Documents:

**[https://consultation.lgbce.org.uk/download\\_document?file=draft%2F1624905418\\_boundary+review+submission\\_EVRA.pdf](https://consultation.lgbce.org.uk/download_document?file=draft%2F1624905418_boundary+review+submission_EVRA.pdf)**

**Submission from Ewell Village Resident's Association to Local Government Boundary Commission consultation on ward review for Epsom & Ewell**

1. Agree with the BC announcement of "minded to recommend" that the Council should have 35 councillors.
2. Ewell ward should continue to be represented by three councillors.
3. The principle of boundaries being determined by obvious separations such as railway lines, major rivers, dual carriageway roads, etc is respected. Given these factors, the present Ewell ward is "about right" and only requires some tweaking which is suggested within this response.
4. The addition of JC polling district to Ewell ward at the last review, some 22 years ago, has not worked particularly well because that location is closer to Epsom Town Centre and those residents associate it as being more relevant to Epsom Town rather than Ewell Village.
5. Conversely, the more recent development on the former NESCOL college land located between Ewell By Pass and the Epsom-Sutton railway line (known by the developers name of Sycamore Gardens, but comprising 14 different street names), is located in Nonsuch Ward. It is suggested that those residents are closer to, and have more affinity with, Ewell Village centre rather than the wider, centreless and diverse Nonsuch ward. This area should therefore be removed from Nonsuch ward and added to Ewell ward.
6. A recent electoral listing shows 287 voters within the Sycamore Gardens estate.
7. Notwithstanding the comment at 4 above, there has been some affinity with Windmill Lane and Chuters Grove residents over recent years with Ewell ward councillors dealing with matters on their behalf. It is advanced therefore that there is a case to retain a smaller section of the current JC area being its North-Eastern extent.
8. It is suggested at the boundary between Ewell ward and Town ward be amended by being shortened to exclude that part of East Street from Church Road to, and including Dirdene Gardens, and its back roads on its SE side thus retaining Windmill Lane including Bush Villas, Chuters Grove, East Street Ede Court, East Street St Georges Court, East Street 178/182a, East Street Chossy House and Old Bridge Lane within Ewell ward. This area contains approx 112 voters.
9. As a corollary to No.8 and giving some alignment thus making Kiln junction a boundary point, it is further suggested that the Ewell ward boundary is extended on the NW side of East Street from its present termination at Fairview Road up to, but not including Kiln Lane. The section would contain about 86 voters if an application for development at 107/111 East Street is granted permission.
10. Also the current border/boundary close to the location of No.9 above properties there is a slight irregularity, probably historic from the time of former Stone's Tip, which cuts across the current Sainsburys' car park. This should be straightened to allow the "Boundary Path" (continuation of Fairview Road to West Street Ewell) to continue to be wholly within Ewell ward but all of Sainsbury's car park and its entrance footpath from Fairview Road, to be in Town ward. The boundary thus to extend along the rear of the properties of Fairview Road and those at No.9 above and being 107 to 119 East Street.
11. The effect of the above (in approximate numbers):- Ewell ward projected if boundary unaltered is 4,752. Delete part of JC as above (390), add Sycamore Gardens estate (287), add 107 to 139 East Street (80). Total of 4,729.

12. SUMMARY. There is justification therefore, as at 11 above, in retaining Ewell ward as a three-councillor ward at an average of 1,573 voters per councillor - very close to the BC forecast. Also, the ward generally retains its long-established boundary with the amendments suggested.